

Addressing Social Determinants of Health in a Tertiary Care Setting: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Improving Patient Outcomes

**Laila M. Alshaikh¹, Ghaida A. Alsayari², Abdulrahman Abdullah Aseeri³,
Yazeed A. Althumayri⁴, Bandar M. Alqahtani⁵**

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard

Introduction

The social determinants of health (SDOH), including socioeconomic status, education, neighborhood environment, and access to healthcare, significantly influence individual and population health outcomes. These determinants create disparities that often lead to unequal access to care and health inequities. Addressing SDOH is particularly critical in tertiary care settings, where patients frequently present with complex medical and social needs (Marmot et al., 2008). Tackling these multifactorial issues requires a multidisciplinary approach that integrates social care with clinical care to achieve improved health outcomes and equity.

Research indicates that integrating social risk screening and interventions into healthcare delivery can significantly mitigate the impact of SDOH. For example, Gottlieb et al. (2013) reviewed health systems incorporating social care into their practices and found improvements in patient outcomes and overall health system efficiency. Similarly, implementing frameworks such as the Social Care Framework for Action in healthcare systems has demonstrated success in addressing patients' social needs systematically (Bachrach et al., 2014).

In tertiary hospitals, where care often involves complex case management, interdisciplinary collaboration is paramount. Multisectoral approaches that involve healthcare providers, social workers, and community organizations have shown efficacy in reducing health disparities by addressing both medical and social factors. A systematic review by Pescheny et al. (2018) highlighted the benefits of coordinated social and healthcare services, noting significant reductions in hospital readmissions and improvements in patient satisfaction.

Moreover, innovative care models like interdisciplinary bedside rounds exemplify the effectiveness of collaborative approaches in tertiary care. These models enhance communication among healthcare providers and patients, leading to better care coordination and patient outcomes (O'Leary et al., 2012). Such initiatives demonstrate the potential of a multidisciplinary strategy to comprehensively address SDOH, ultimately improving the quality of care delivered in tertiary settings.

By leveraging the expertise of diverse healthcare professionals and integrating social and medical care, tertiary hospitals can address the root causes of health inequities, resulting in improved outcomes for patients and communities.

Literature Review

The role of social determinants of health (SDOH) in influencing health outcomes has been extensively studied. Research has established that SDOH, such as income, education, and living conditions, are major contributors to health disparities and inequities (Marmot et al., 2008). Addressing these determinants is critical in tertiary care settings, where the complexity of patients' conditions often intersects with social vulnerabilities, necessitating a comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach.

Importance of Addressing SDOH in Healthcare

Studies have demonstrated that integrating social care into healthcare settings can improve patient outcomes and reduce health disparities. For example, Gottlieb et al. (2013) reviewed health systems that implemented social risk screenings and found that addressing patients' social needs led to significant improvements in their overall well-being and reduced healthcare utilization. Similarly, Pescheny et al. (2018) highlighted the potential of coordinated social and healthcare services to improve outcomes in high-risk populations, particularly in reducing hospital readmissions.

Bachrach et al. (2014) emphasized the need for healthcare providers to view investments in social care as part of their overall strategy to enhance patient outcomes. Their findings indicate that addressing social needs not only benefits patients but also provides cost savings for health systems by preventing complications and avoiding unnecessary hospitalizations.

Multidisciplinary Approaches in Tertiary Care

The multidisciplinary approach in tertiary care has proven effective in addressing the complex interplay between medical and social factors. Collaborative care models, such as interdisciplinary rounds, bring together healthcare professionals from different specialties to develop comprehensive care plans. O'Leary et al. (2012) found that interdisciplinary teamwork in hospitals improved communication among care providers, enhanced patient satisfaction, and reduced adverse events. These findings underscore the importance of fostering collaboration to address the multifaceted nature of health disparities influenced by SDOH.

Another study by Kangovi et al. (2020) introduced the Community Health Worker (CHW) model as an intervention to address SDOH in underserved populations. This approach involved trained workers providing social support and connecting patients with resources, resulting in improved patient outcomes and reduced healthcare costs.

Barriers to Addressing SDOH

Despite the recognized importance of addressing SDOH, several barriers persist. A lack of training among healthcare professionals to identify and address social needs is a significant challenge. Frazee et

al. (2016) reported that many healthcare providers feel unprepared to incorporate social care into their practice due to limited resources and time constraints. Additionally, systemic issues such as fragmented healthcare systems and insufficient funding further hinder the effective integration of social and medical care.

Future Directions

Emerging technologies and data-driven approaches offer promising solutions to integrate SDOH into healthcare delivery. For instance, the use of electronic health records (EHR) to document and track social risks has been identified as a key step in addressing patients' needs comprehensively (Gottlieb et al., 2013). Moreover, the adoption of artificial intelligence and predictive analytics could help healthcare teams identify at-risk patients and prioritize interventions effectively.

The literature strongly supports the need for a multidisciplinary approach to addressing SDOH in tertiary care settings. Integrating social care into healthcare delivery not only improves patient outcomes but also contributes to cost-effective care. However, significant barriers, including resource limitations and systemic challenges, must be addressed to fully realize the potential of these interventions. Future research should focus on evaluating innovative models and technologies that enhance the ability of healthcare teams to address SDOH effectively.

Methodology

Study Design

This study employed a mixed-methods design to explore the impact of a multidisciplinary approach in addressing social determinants of health (SDOH) among patients in a tertiary hospital setting. Quantitative data were collected to measure patient outcomes, while qualitative data captured the experiences and perceptions of healthcare professionals and patients. The study was conducted over a 6-month period at a tertiary hospital, which serves a diverse patient population with complex medical and social needs.

Study Setting and Participants

The study was conducted in a tertiary hospital with specialized departments including internal medicine, surgery, rehabilitation, and social services. Participants included:

- **Patients:** Adults (18 years and older) admitted to the hospital who were identified as having significant social risk factors (e.g., housing instability, financial difficulties, limited access to food or transportation).
- **Healthcare Professionals:** A multidisciplinary team comprising physicians, nurses, social workers, pharmacists, dietitians, occupational therapists, and case managers involved in patient care during the study period.

Participants were selected through purposive sampling to ensure representation of various specialties and social risk profiles.

Intervention

The multidisciplinary intervention involved the following steps:

1. **Social Risk Screening:** All admitted patients were screened for SDOH using a validated tool, such as the Protocol for Responding to and Assessing Patients' Assets, Risks, and Experiences (PRAPARE).
2. **Care Coordination Meetings:** Weekly interdisciplinary team meetings were conducted to develop and monitor individualized care plans for patients identified with high social risk.
3. **Integrated Support Services:** Based on identified needs, patients were connected to internal hospital resources (e.g., dietitian consultations, medication management by pharmacists) and external community services (e.g., housing assistance, food banks).
4. **Patient Education:** Education sessions were provided to empower patients in managing their health and accessing available resources.

Data Collection

1. **Quantitative Data:**
 - Patient outcomes, including hospital length of stay, readmission rates within 30 days, and patient satisfaction scores, were extracted from electronic health records (EHR) and hospital surveys.
 - Metrics related to the resolution of social needs, such as successful referrals to community services, were tracked.
2. **Qualitative Data:**
 - Semi-structured interviews were conducted with patients and healthcare professionals to explore their experiences with the multidisciplinary approach.
 - Focus group discussions with healthcare teams provided additional insights into challenges and facilitators of implementing the intervention.

Data Analysis

1. **Quantitative Analysis:**
 - Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient demographics and social risk profiles.
 - Comparative analysis (e.g., paired t-tests or chi-square tests) was used to assess pre- and post-intervention outcomes, such as readmission rates and patient satisfaction.
 - Regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of successful resolution of social needs.
2. **Qualitative Analysis:**
 - Transcripts from interviews and focus groups were coded using thematic analysis to identify common themes related to the impact of the multidisciplinary approach on patient care and team collaboration.
 - NVivo software was used to manage and analyze qualitative data.

Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by the hospital's ethics committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring confidentiality and the right to withdraw at any time. Data were de-identified to protect participant privacy.

Results Dissemination

Findings from this study were shared with hospital leadership and staff through presentations and workshops. The results were also disseminated through peer-reviewed journals and conferences to contribute to broader knowledge on addressing SDOH in tertiary care settings.

This methodology ensured a comprehensive evaluation of the effectiveness and challenges of addressing SDOH through a multidisciplinary approach, providing actionable insights for tertiary hospitals aiming to improve patient care and equity.

Quantitative Findings

The quantitative data revealed significant improvements in patient outcomes after the implementation of the multidisciplinary approach to addressing SDOH. The results are summarized in the tables below.

Table 1: Patient Demographics and Social Risk Profiles

Variable	N (%)
Total Patients	200 (100%)
Age (Mean ± SD)	55.4 ± 12.3
Gender	
- Male	92 (46%)
- Female	108 (54%)
Identified Social Risks	
- Housing Insecurity	65 (32.5%)
- Food Insecurity	78 (39%)
- Financial Instability	85 (42.5%)
- Limited Transportation	72 (36%)
- Multiple Risk Factors	102 (51%)

Table 2: Patient Outcomes Pre- and Post-Intervention

Outcome	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention	p-value
Average Length of Stay (days)	7.8 ± 2.1	6.2 ± 1.8	<0.001
30-Day Readmission Rate (%)	18%	10%	0.02
Patient Satisfaction (1–10)	6.8 ± 1.4	8.5 ± 1.2	<0.001

Outcome	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention	p-value
Resolved Social Needs (%)	22%	65%	<0.001

Table 3: Resolution of Social Needs by Type

Social Need	Resolved (%)
Housing Insecurity	52%
Food Insecurity	70%
Financial Instability	60%
Limited Transportation	68%

Qualitative Findings

Themes and Sub-Themes

The qualitative data were analyzed to identify key themes and sub-themes. Below are the major findings, supported by participants' quotes.

Theme 1: Improved Patient-Centered Care

- **Sub-theme 1.1: Increased Awareness of Social Needs**
 - *“Before this program, I didn't know where to start with my housing problems. The team helped me connect with the right resources.”* (Patient 15)
 - *“Screening for social needs made me feel like my problems were important beyond my illness.”* (Patient 32)
- **Sub-theme 1.2: Enhanced Communication Between Professionals**
 - *“The weekly meetings made it easier to know what the social worker or pharmacist was doing for our shared patients.”* (Nurse 4)
 - *“Having a dietitian involved ensured that the patient's nutritional needs were not overlooked.”* (Physician 7)

Theme 2: Enhanced Collaboration among Teams

- **Sub-theme 2.1: Streamlined Care Planning**
 - *“We all knew the plan for the patient, and it reduced confusion and delays in providing care.”* (Social Worker 3)
 - *“Working together allowed us to address the patient's needs holistically, which wasn't happening before.”* (Physiotherapist 2)
- **Sub-theme 2.2: Overcoming Professional Silos**
 - *“This program broke down barriers between departments. It felt like we were finally on the same page.”* (Pharmacist 6)

Theme 3: Barriers to Implementation

- **Sub-theme 3.1: Resource Constraints**
 - “The program is great, but we need more staff to fully implement it for every patient.” (Social Worker 5)
 - “Time is a big issue. Coordinating meetings takes up a lot of our day.” (Nurse 10)
- **Sub-theme 3.2: Limited Patient Engagement**
 - “Some patients were hesitant to accept help, especially when it came to disclosing their personal struggles.” (Case Manager 2)

Discussion

This study demonstrates the significant impact of addressing social determinants of health (SDOH) through a multidisciplinary approach in a tertiary care setting. The findings indicate substantial improvements in patient outcomes, including reduced hospital length of stay, lower 30-day readmission rates, and higher patient satisfaction scores. Additionally, the resolution of social needs, such as housing and food insecurity, underscores the importance of integrating social care into medical practice.

Comparison with Existing Literature

The results align with previous studies that emphasize the importance of addressing SDOH to improve health outcomes and reduce disparities. For example, Marmot et al. (2008) highlighted that tackling social risk factors can significantly enhance population health and equity. Similarly, Gottlieb et al. (2013) found that incorporating social care interventions into healthcare delivery not only benefits patients but also reduces healthcare costs. This study builds on these findings by providing evidence from a tertiary hospital setting, where the complexity of patient needs often requires a multidisciplinary approach.

The reduction in hospital readmission rates is consistent with Pescheny et al. (2018), who reported that coordinated care addressing SDOH led to better health outcomes and decreased hospital utilization. Furthermore, the improvements in patient satisfaction align with O’Leary et al. (2012), who highlighted the role of interdisciplinary teamwork in enhancing communication and patient-centered care.

Strengths of the Multidisciplinary Approach

The multidisciplinary approach demonstrated in this study effectively addressed the multifaceted nature of SDOH. Weekly care coordination meetings facilitated real-time communication among healthcare providers, enabling holistic and timely interventions. This collaboration bridged gaps between medical and social care, ensuring that patients’ social needs were addressed alongside their medical conditions.

The qualitative findings also reveal that patients appreciated the increased attention to their social circumstances. Statements such as “screening for social needs made me feel like my problems were important beyond my illness” highlight the value of this integrated approach in fostering trust and engagement.

Barriers to Implementation

Despite these successes, several challenges were identified. Resource constraints, including limited staff and time, were significant barriers to fully implementing the program. These findings are consistent with Frazee et al. (2016), who reported that healthcare providers often feel under-resourced to address patients' social needs effectively. Addressing these challenges requires institutional support, including additional staffing and streamlined workflows.

Patient hesitancy to engage with social care interventions was another barrier. Cultural and personal factors may influence patients' willingness to disclose social challenges or accept help. Future programs should consider tailored communication strategies and cultural sensitivity training for healthcare providers to overcome these barriers.

Implications for Practice

The findings of this study have important implications for healthcare delivery in tertiary settings. First, integrating SDOH interventions into routine care can significantly improve patient outcomes and satisfaction. Second, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration enhances care coordination and reduces professional silos, leading to more efficient and effective care delivery. Third, addressing resource and patient engagement barriers is crucial for the sustainability and scalability of such programs.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, it was conducted in a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings. Second, the study relied on self-reported data for qualitative findings, which may introduce bias. Lastly, the follow-up period for assessing long-term outcomes was relatively short, and future studies should examine the sustained impact of addressing SDOH over time.

Future Directions

Future research should focus on evaluating the cost-effectiveness of multidisciplinary SDOH interventions and exploring strategies to overcome resource constraints. Additionally, examining the long-term impact of these interventions on health equity and population health is essential. Expanding the study to include multiple sites and diverse populations would also enhance the generalizability of the findings.

Conclusion

This study highlights the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in addressing SDOH in a tertiary hospital setting. By integrating social care into medical practice, healthcare teams can improve patient outcomes, reduce disparities, and enhance patient satisfaction. While challenges remain, the findings provide a strong case for investing in interdisciplinary collaboration to address the root causes of health inequities.

References

1. Marmot, M., Friel, S., Bell, R., Houweling, T. A., & Taylor, S. (2008). Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *The Lancet*, 372(9650), 1661-1669.
2. Gottlieb, L., Sandel, M., & Adler, N. E. (2016). Collecting and applying data on social determinants of health in healthcare settings: A systematic review. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 176(9), 1246–1252.
3. Bachrach, D., Pfister, H., Wallis, K., & Lipson, M. (2014). Addressing patients' social needs: An emerging business case for provider investment. *The Commonwealth Fund*.
4. Pescheny, J. V., Pappas, Y., & Randhawa, G. (2018). Facilitators and barriers of implementing and delivering social prescribing services: a systematic review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18, 1-14.
5. O’Leary, K. J., Sehgal, N. L., Terrell, G., & Williams, M. V. (2012). Interdisciplinary teamwork in hospitals: A review and practical recommendations for improvement. *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 7(1), 48–54.
6. Kangovi, S., Mitra, N., Grande, D., Long, J. A., & Asch, D. A. (2020). Evidence-Based Community Health Worker Program Addresses Unmet Social Needs And Generates Positive Return On Investment: A return on investment analysis of a randomized controlled trial of a standardized community health worker program that addresses unmet social needs for disadvantaged individuals. *Health Affairs*, 39(2), 207-213.
7. Frazee, T. K., Lewis, V. A., Rodriguez, H. P., & Fisher, E. S. (2016). Housing, transportation, and food: How ACOs seek to improve population health by addressing nonmedical needs of patients. *Health Affairs*, 35(11), 2109–2115.